2024 Detained Master Calendar and Bond Hearing-Immigration Court Observation

Date: Observer (full name):
Shift start time: 8:30 10:00 or 10:30 1:30 3:00
GENERAL
1. Last 3 digits of A#: 2. Country of origin :
3. Gender: Male Female Transgender/ non-binary Unsure
4. Respondent Appears: in Person via Video phone/audio Didn't appear, reason:
5. Judge: Carr Hansen Ivany Mazzie Miller Sardelli Wood Zaske Other:
Judge appears: in Person via Video phone/audio
6. DHS Attorney: Appears: in Person via Video phone/audio didn't appear
7. Was the respondent represented? in Person via Video phone/audio No, pro se Attorney failed to appear
Comment:
8. Type of Hearing : Custody/ Bond Removal Unknown Other
9. Detention Location: Freeborn Kandiyohi Sherburne Other:
IHP (Prison): Unknown
10. Respondent's best language: 11. What language (interpreter) provided:
12. Interpreter appears? Not needed in Person via Video phone/audio Not available Attorney waived
13. Interpretation (check all that apply): Complete Incomplete Technical problems Multiple attempts to find Not in preferred language Comment:
BOND HEARING (skip if no bond hearing scheduled today)
14. Did both parties agree to bond amount in advance? Yes, \$ No (if yes, skip remaining bond ?s)
15. Bond amount requested? \qquad No amount specified
16. DHS's principle argument:
DHS did not speak
17. Outcome: Bond Granted Bond Denied Withdrew bond request Continuance granted
Advised to request new hearing when ready Judge will issue written decision
18. if Granted, Bond Amount: \$
19. If denied, why? Ineligible/mandatory detention Danger to society Flight risk Other
20. What factors were mentioned in granting or denying bond?
21. Did parties reserve appeal on bond? <u>DHS</u> : Yes No, waived Respondent: Yes No, waived Judge didn't ask
22. If Continued, next bond hearing: No date given
REMOVAL HEARING
23. Pleadings taken today? Yes No If yes, select all that apply: concedes all in NTA denies some or all in NTA
denies receipt of NTA denies understanding NTA attorney doesn't have NTA declines designate country
Comment:
24. Discussion of relief? Not discussed Ineligible for any form of relief
Judge screened for eligibility, Submitted application today Application Before USCIS
eligible to apply Submitted application previously Unsure/ Other:

A#	rev 1.1.24
25. Relief applications requested, to be submitted, or pending? Not discussed Ineligi	ble for any form of relief
Cancellation: 42A (LPR) 42B I-589 Asylum / WOR / CAT U-Vis	a or T-Visa
Voluntary Departure Adjustment of Status (I-485) Othe	r/ unsure:
26. Comment:	
27. Did respondent asked to be removed (deported)? Yes No If asked for deportation, v	vhat did respondent say?
28. DHS's principle argument:	didn't speak
29. Outcome: Continuance granted Removal order (Deportation) Termination/I	Dismissal Trial queue
Relief granted. Specify:	
30. If continued, next hearing Date: Time:	
31. If judge made final decision did parties reserve appeal?	
DHS: Yes No, waived Respondent: Yes No, waived Judge didn't	task Unsure
CRIMINAL HISTORY32. Was a criminal history mentioned? Not DiscussedNo criminal HxArrests but no chargesAll cases acquitted/dismissedMixed HistoryUnknown out	All cases are pending tcome of charges/ cases
Comments:	
33. Any indication of discriminatory policing? Yes No Unsure Not discussed Comr	nent:
EQUITIES	
34. Length of time in the US: Unknown .	
35. Family in the US? Yes: No	o Unknown
36. Supporters in court? Family Other supporters Can't tell None Supporte	rs acknowledged
HEALTH	
37. Any concern about mental health, physical illness, or competency Yes No Unsur	e
Explain:	
38. IMPRESSIONS: What barriers did Respondent face and how did court address these? Does happened and what is expected? How did the court recognize the humanity and individuality of the most impact on you? What questions did this raise?	•
	_
39. New procedures, arguments, policies noted:	